CHARLES FOX Plaintiff

V.

C.A. No. 05-40106-WGY

HARLEY LAPPIN, et al., Defendents

This is to notify the Court that the Plaintiff's Administrative Remedy Process is now complete. Enclosed is the complete process; BP-9 through BP-11.

Respectfully submitted,

Charles Fox

Dated: November 4, 2005

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Charles Fox, hereby certify that I have, this date, served this Notice by mailing a copy to the following: Judith Mizner, Federal Public Defender, 408 Atlantic Ave., 3rd floor, Boston, MA 02110; Gregg Shapiro, Esq., Office of the United States Attorney for the Distric of Massachusetts, U.S. Moakley Courthouse, I Courthouse Way, Boston, MA 02210; and Judge Young, Chief Federal Judge, U.S. Moakley Courthouse, I Courthouse Way, Boston, Ma 02210.

Charles Fox

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

DATE

REQUEST FOR ADMINISTRATIVE REMEDY

RECIPIENT'S SIGNATURE (STAFF MEMBER)

BP-229(13)

, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	chments are needed, sub	bmit four copies. A	Additional instructions	on reverse.
. Ox, Charles M.	1124	72.058	143	FAR PRAISE
LAST NAME, FIRST, MIDDLE INIT		G. NO.	^ UNIT	INSTITUTION
t A- INMATE REQUEST				
as turned down for Half Way Ho	ouse for not par	ticipating 1	In a Randatory	Program. (SOMP)
ld suggest to you that SOMP ca	m't be a mandat	ory program	because there	isn't a Program
ment in support of it. I would gram Statement can't be used t	i also suggest t	nar a memo i	ismos I moni	nto the cratem T
ivena 10% data just like ever	nake a progra	m danuactly. nd taking it	t from me for t	ot participating
se program and completely ignor	ing all the oth	er programs	I did gake car	only be retalicon
harge is over235 years old and	there is nothin	ig in my reco	ord in Higgest	that I might be
ged with something like that ag				
ha 3002 Program (accept respons				
not guilty 25 years ago and th	iat isn't going	to change an	nd chere hash't	: seen anything in
rd so nce then to suggest that ? ndment Right against self incri	i might be charg	ed for some:	rang ting ther	, again, i nave a . Sar richt because
offer me no protection concerni	ne the question	s You ask.	You give us no	guarantees where
information will go or how is	vill be used. Be	cause I rein	isa to answer	your incriminating
tions in a nom-mandatory prigra	am you take my h	alf-way hous	se. I would sug	gest that before
program can be mandatory the				
I be given my 10% date to help	o myseli get int	ergrated bac	ck into society	f• :
05/25/05			2 Lin 32	
DATE			SIGNATURE OF R	EQUESTER
rt B- RESPONSE	*			
	المستقد في المستقد الم المستقد المستقد			
				•
				1 N & 11
			DR G B	
			110	
يون ويتراخله مي فالما الرفيدي			1101	-9 2005 LJ
			11177 20M	
<i>-</i>				
	. · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•	FM	DEVENS -N'S OFFICE
	,		WAR	NO
				•
			1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
			and the second	
	ų.			
	×			
	¥		- (1)	
	X			
DATE	¥		WARDEN OR REGION	
	al Director. Your appeal must	be received in the Reg		
	al Director. Your appeal must	be received in the Reg		
issatisfied with this response, you may appeal to the Region	al Director. Your appeal must	be received in the Reg	CASE NUMBER	
ssatisfied with this response, you may appeal to the Region SECOND COPY: RETURN TO INMATE	al Director. Your appeal must	be received in the Reg	ional Office within 20 calen	
issatisfied with this response, you may appeal to the Region SECOND COPY: RETURN TO INMATE art C- RECEIPT	al Director. Your appeal must	be received in the Reg	CASE NUMBER	
dissatisfied with this response, you may appeal to the Region		t be received in the Reg	CASE NUMBER	

FEDERAL MEDICAL CENTER DEVENS, MASSACHUSETTS RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMINISTRATIVE REMEDY #379884-F1

This is in response to your Request for Administrative Remedy dated May 25, 2005, in which you allege that you were denied placement in a Community Corrections Center (CCC) "for not participating in a mandatory program," namely the Sex Offender Management Program (SOMP). You claim that: (1) because your sex offense occurred over 25 years ago, the objectives of the SOMP "will not benefit [you] in any way;"(2) "the SOMP can't be a mandatory program because there isn't a Program Statement in support of it;" and (3) the SOMP violates your Fifth Amendment right precluding self-incrimination because you are asked questions and are provided with "no guarantees where the information will go or how it will be used." You assert your belief that your CCC placement was taken away from you because you refused to answer incriminating questions in a "non-mandatory program," and request to receive a halfway house placement of a duration equal to "10%" of your prison sentence.

An investigation into your request revealed the following: Your records reveal you have a Public Safety Factor (PSF) of Sex Offender and are classified as low security. Thus, you meet the eligibility criteria for the SOMP at the Federal Medical Center (FMC) Devens. The SOMP is a mandatory correctional management program whose primary goal is to help sex offenders manage their behavior in order to reduce sexual reoffending. The SOMP aims to evaluate the treatment and supervision needs of all eligible inmates, and to offer and/or recommend specialized sex offender management and treatment services in accordance with those needs. According to your Pre-Sentence Investigation Report (PSI), on August 18, 1981, you were convicted of Sexual Assault, Second Degree, in the state of West Virginia. Given this history, it is appropriate to evaluate your sex offense-specific treatment and supervision needs.

18 U.S.C., Section 3621, gives the Bureau of Prisons the general authority to establish programs deemed necessary to assist in the correctional management of inmates. The SOMP operates under this general authority. While the SOMP is a mandatory management program, some components of the SOMP may require an inmate's cooperation and participation. As outlined in the SOMP Inmate Handbook, inmates in the program are encouraged to participate in program components that require their active involvement, and are offered a variety of incentives to do so. For instance, a Certificate of Completion is given to inmates who satisfactorily complete the psycho-education component of the program (one of the treatment components that may be recommended). With regard to Community Corrections Center (CCC) placement, the SOMP Inmate Handbook states, "Active participation in the SOMP is considered by the Unit Team in recommending the inmate for CCC placement. Conversely, poor cooperation or failure to participate may curtail CCC placement." Participation in the SOMP will assist in developing good institutional adjustment, program participation, and community re-integration.

Program Statement 7310.04, <u>CCC Utilization and Transfer Procedures</u>, clearly indicates the limitations on eligibility for CCC referrals. P.S. 7310.04 states that inmates who are assigned a Public Safety Factor of Sex Offender are among the categories of inmates who "shall not ordinarily participate in CCC programs." Nevertheless, a referral for CCC placement may be

U.S. Department of Justice

Regional Administrative Remedy Appeal

Federal Bureau of Prisons

Type or use ball-point pen. If attachments are needed, submit four with this appeal.	copies. One copy of the comple	eted BP-DIR-9 including any	attachments must be submitted
From: FOX, CKARLES Y. LAST NAME, FIRST, MIDDLE INITIAL	02972-088 REG. NO.	H-B UNIT	FMC DEVENS INSTITUTION
Part A-REASON FOR APPEAL I would suggest the over extended his authority. You say program whose primary goal is to help a reoffending. My charge is over 25 years suggest that I might reoffend! You also of Prisons the general authority to est establish the SOMP Program they must have since there isn't a B.O.P. Program Statthis program isn't sanctioned by the B. not overlook the fact that it is like the and not by the B.O.P. On July9, 2004 who questions. As I argued then and now, by the B.O.P. Program Statement and the clearly provides in Section 4042(c) on is incarcerated can act as a trigger for to the extent of the B.O.P. regulations current, federal offence for which the would ask that my half-way house 10% be 07/28/05	sex offenders manages old and there is a statethat 18 U.S. tablish programs. It is ave a BOP Program Stement, only a Development, only a Development I saw the SOMP, the SOMP Program at according to Simily the current feders include as qualificationer is incared.	andatory corrections their behavior nothing else in model. C., Section 3621, would suggest the statement in supposers Statement, I have talk of the SOM Statement, it is Staff I signed no is an illegal promons w. Mash 361 areal offence for whe designation. The sying offences conterated, the regular.	mal management and to reduce sexual by file to even gives the Bureau at for the BOP to rt of said program. ave to assume that P Handbook, we must printed by Devens papers and asked gram not sanctioned F. (D-NJ 2005) it hich the prisoner refore, it is concludications other than sations are invalid.
DATE		SIGNATURE OF REC	QUESTER
art B—RESPONSE			
		!	•
•			
•			
		•	
DATE		REGIONAL DIRE	
dissatisfied with this response, you may appeal to the General Cou ays of the date of this response. RIGINAL: RETURN TO INMATE	unsel. Your appeal must be rece	ived in the General Counsel's CASE NUMBER:	Office within 30 calendar 3'79884-R
DECEMPT			
Part C—RECEIPT		CASE NUMBER: _	
leturn to:			·
LAST NAME, FIRST, MIDDLE INITIAL	REG. NO.	UNIT	INSTITUTION
UBJECT:			

FOX, Charles
Reg. No. 02972-088
Appeal No. 379884-R1
Page One

Part B - Response

You appeal the decision of the Warden at FMC Devens to deny your request for community corrections center (CCC) placement because of your refusal to participate in the Sex Offender Management Program (SOMP). You state the Regional Director overextended his authority in applying the Public Safety Factor (PSF) of Sex Offender. You also state the SOMP is an illegal program not sanctioned by the Bureau of Prisons (BOP). You state according to Simmons v. Nash, only current federal offenses can be used in determining the sex offender designation. As relief, you request that your halfway house ten percent date be reinstated.

An investigation into your appeal revealed that in Regional Appeal No. 379626-R1, you were advised of the reasons in support of application of the PSF. This issue will not be addressed again in this response. As the Warden stated, when you were placed in the SOMP, you were advised your participation in that program will be considered in assessing your placement in community programs, including transfer to a community corrections center. Records reveal you have failed to participate in the SOMP and were not recommended for CCC placement based on your poor institutional adjustment. We concur with the Warden's sound correctional judgement in denying a recommendation for CCC placement. Accordingly, your appeal is denied.

If you are dissatisfied with this response, you may appeal to the General Counsel, Federal Bureau of Prisons. Your appeal must be received in the Administrative Remedy Section, Office of General Counsel, Federal Bureau of Prisons, 320 First Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20534, within 30 calendar days of the date of this response.

Date: August 29, 2005

D/ SCOTT DODRILL Regional Director

J.S. Department of Justice	Central Office Administrative Remedy Appeal				
Federal Bureau of Prisons					
names mount ha autimited with this named	ubmit four copies. One copy each of the completed BP-DIR-9 and BP-DIR-10, including any attach-				
nents must be submitted with this appeal.	1) 07972-088 H-B FMC-DEVEN				
LAST NAME, FIRST, MIDDLE INITIAL	REG. NO. UNIT INSTITUTION				
art A-REASON FOR APPEAL I WOU	Id ASK how the BOP can turn down my				
(CCC) placement for fai	Iling to participate in a program (SOMP)				
especially some the pro	gram is not up and suppling!				
Specific Spe					
(See ATTACHMENTS)	D2972-088 H-B FMC-DEVEN. REG. NO. UNIT INSTITUTION IN ASK how the BOP can turn down my Iling to participate in a program (SOMP), ogram is not up and sunning!				
	•				
	·				
•					
	OA I am 1				
9-6-05	SIGNATURE OF REQUESTER				
Part B-RESPONSE	SIGNATURE OF REQUESTER				
	in a company of				
	SEP 10 care				
	1 3-2.05				
	ADMINISTRATIVE STEEDINGS				
	Control of the state of the sta				
	·				
	·				
	•				
DATE	GENERAL COUNSEL				
ORIGINAL: RETURN TO INMATE	CASE NUMBER: 379884-AL				
Part C—RECEIPT					
-	CASE NUMBER:				

DATE 6

SUBJECT: _

LAST NAME, FIRST, MIDDLE INITIAL

UNIT

REG. NO.

INSTITUTION

FEDERAL PUBLIC DEFENDER WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

JOSEPH B. MISTRETT FEDERAL DEFENDER joseph_mistrett@fd.org

KIMBERLY A. SCHECHTER ASSISTANT DEFENDER kimberly_schechter@fd.org

300 PEARL STREET, SUITE 450 BUFFALO, NEW YORK 14202

> 716-551-3341 FAX: 716-551-3346

August 18, 2005

ROCHESTER OFFICE 28 EAST MAIN STREET FIRST FEDERAL PLAZA - SUITE 400 ROCHESTER, NEW YORK 14614 585-263-6201 585-263-5871-FAX

REPLY TO: BUFFALO

LEGAL MAIL PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT

Mr. Kenneth J. Happy USM No. 13068-055 HB c/o FMC Devans P. O. Box 779 Ayer, Massachusetts 01432

Re:

United States v. Kenneth J. Happy

04-CR-85-S

Dear Mr. Happy:

I spoke to Dr. Renaud. She confirmed that the Sex Offender Program at Devans is not up and running. I asked her to speak to you about possibly being transferred to Butner's Sex Offender Program which is much more involved and may be a better fit for you. I also discussed with her your other diagnosis of bi-polar disease and she is aware of all of this and is going to speak to you.

I hope this helps.

imberly A. Schechter Assistant Federal Defender

KAS:is

Administrative Remedy No. 379884-A1 Part B - Response

This is in response to your Central Office Administrative Remedy Appeal in which you contest the denial of your request for halfway house placement because you failed to participate in the Sex Offender Management Program (SOMP). You argue the program is not operational, your 1981 sexual assault conviction was used by staff to improperly assign you a Public Safety Factor (PSF), and staff made your eligibility for placement in a Community Confinement Center (CCC), contingent upon your participation.

The Bureau of Prisons approved, in December of 2002, SOMP, a comprehensive management and treatment strategy for all sex offenders. It was created for the purposes of increasing institution security and ensuring effective management of sex offenders to ensure public safety. The SOMP has several components, including the identification, monitoring, management, treatment, and more importantly, the transition of inmates to the community upon release.

As noted in the Warden's response, Program Statement 7310.04, CCC Utilization and Transfer Procedures, stipulates the criteria required for halfway house eligibility. Specifically, Page 10, Paragraph 10, states inmates who are assigned a "Sex Offender" Public Safety Factor are not ordinarily permitted to participate in CCC programs, unless the PSF is waived.

Although there is neither a specific program statement nor regulation concerning the SOMP, Title 18, United States Code, § 3621 grants the Bureau of Prisons authority to establish programs deemed necessary to assist in the correctional management of inmates. Records indicate you have a prior state conviction for Sexual Assault. We find your unit team requiring your participation in the SOMP is appropriate.

Our review reveals the Warden and Regional Director adequately responded to the issue raised in your appeal. Both reasons noted above, resulted in the unit team not recommending your for CCC placement.

Accordingly, your appeal is denied.

COMPON 10, 2003

Harrell Watts Administrator National Inmate Appeals

		TIC Description of a C.Y
		U.S. Department of Justice
	•	Federal Bureau of Prisons
		Federal Medical Center, Devens
		P.O. Box 880 Ayer, MA 01432
		May 19, 2005
MEMORANI	DUM FOR DAYAD 14. WINN, WARDI	EN
FROM:	Tony Calabro, Unit Manager	
SUBJECT:	FOX, Charles Marshall Reg. No. 02972-088 CCC Non-referral Justification	
Monitoring, or Oreason(s): XXX A. B. C. D. E. F. G. H. J. K. M. Comments: The participation in for Felon in Possentenced to 5 displayed poor of the comments.	Poor Institution Adjustment (Attached BF FRP Refuse (Attach PP37, FRP & Commit DAPS Require Refuse (Attach PP37, DR6 Violence/Firearms (Attach PPG6). Escape/CCC. Sex Offender (Attach PPG0). Psychiatric Case. Deportable Alien (Attach PPG6). Unresolved Pending Charges or Detainers Unresolved Medical Issue. Inmate Refused Community Program Plac Too Short for Placement (State ARSD/WI Inmate does not require pre-release transition of the program of the program of the Sex Offender Management Program (Seession of a Firearm and has a prior convict to 10 years and served 5 years. Inmate Foreign (Seession by refusing to be interviewed of the program of	series Statement). sement. here Transferred from). ition services. sfer at FMC Devens, MA, on May 27, 2004, for DMP). He is currently serving a 37 month sentence tion for Sexual Assault-2nd Degree, in which he was ex has failed to participate in SOMP and has
However, based be denied future	on the circumstances involved in Inmate F	ox's case, the Unit Team is recommending that he ould encourage that he remain at this facility until his
Inmate Signatur	e (If he refused any Community Programs)	Date
Reviewed by:	•	
B. Ho	lan -	5,23-05
D. Adams, Ca	ase Management Coordinator	Date
O & Alles		5.24.05
Deborah G. S	chult, AW(P)	Date
David L. Wipi	, Warden	3/24/05 Date

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Federal Bureau of Prisons

REQUEST FOR ADMINISTRATIVE REMEDY

	Type or us	e ball-point pen. If attachme	ents are needed	d, submit four co	ples. Additional instruc	tions on reverse.	=
•	In a			372.000			
om:		arles M.	<u> </u>	REG. NO.	II-4 UNIT	FMC Devens INSTITUTION	
rt A- IN	MATE REQ	UEST As per Simmor	as V. Mash	361 F. Sup	p. 2d (D-NJ 200	5) It clearly provide	das
						ner is incarcerated	
		ger for the sea off					
						s other than the cur	[f. er.
		or which the prison				are invalid. imes, That led it to	
						by the Attorney Ger	
						e, the court said, of	
		nly Federal prisone					
						nteach is over 75 yr F (Public Safety Rac	
						PSF be removed and	
						that old of my prin	
		nis classification					
j.				ì			
Į.				,	. 1		
06/1	3/05				13		
0071	DATE				SIGNATURE O	F REQUESTER	
rt B- RE	SPONSE			i. '			
				,	Ži.		
				\ *	\ ²		
				•			
				,		W F	
						V 12	
					103		
					JIIN 14	2005	
				j	FMC DEVE WARDEN'S O	INS FFICE	
			r				
							,
				-			
						•	
	DATE				WARDEN OR REG	IONAL DIRECTOR	—
satisfied wit	k this response, y	ou may appeal to the Regional Dire	ector. Your appeal	must be received in th		alendar days of the date of this respon	ise.
THIRD CO	PY: RETURN	I TO INMATE		•	CASE NUMBE	R. SIANAL FI	
	CEIDT				CASE NUMBE	R:	—
C DE	1.00.100		-	nu .'W'			
rt C- RE	,			1-			
rt C- RE irn to: _		ME, FIRST, MIDDLE INIT	IAL	REG. NO.	UNIT	INSTITUTION	

Case 4:05-cv-40106-WGY Document 18 Filed 11/16/2005 Page 11 of 16

Requirement for submission of this request directly to the Regional Director, Bureau of Prisons.

When the inmate believes that he may be adversely affected by submission of this request at the institution level because of the sensitive nature of the complaint, he may address his complaint to the Regional Director. He must clearly indicate a valid reason for not initially bringing his complaint to the attention of the institution staff.

If the inmate does not provide a reason, or if the Regional Director or his designee believes that the reason supplied is not adequate, the inmate will be notified that the complaint has not been accepted. The form sent to the Regional Director will not be returned. However, the inmate may prepare a new request and submit it at the institution if he wishes.

FEDERAL MEDICAL CENTER, DEVENS, MASSACHUSETTS RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMINISTRATIVE REMEDY, #379326-F1

The following is in response to your Request for Administrative Remedy dated June 13, 2005, in which you indicate that a recent court ruling ("Simmons v. Nash"), determined that only current federal sex offense convictions can be used to classify an offender with a Public Safety Factor (PSF) of "Sex Offender". You indicate that because your sex offense conviction "is over 25 yrs. old and that it was a state offense," the Bureau of Prisons "can't give [you] a (PSF) Public Safety Factor." You are requesting that your PSF be removed, that you be taken off the registration and notification list, and "that all of [your] privileges lost because of this classification be returned."

An investigation into your request revealed the following: Records indicate that you are currently serving a 37 month term of incarceration for Felon in Possession of a Firearm. Records also indicate you have been assigned the Public Safety Factor (PSF) of "Sex Offender" pursuant to Bureau of Prisons Program Statement 5100.07, Security Designation and Custody Classification Manual. According to your Pre-Sentence Investigation (PSI), you were convicted of Sexual Assault, Second Degree, in the state of West Virginia, on August 18, 1981. For this sex offense, you were sentenced to 5 to 10 years of incarceration. Although this sex offense conviction occurred many years ago in the state of West Virginia, your conviction of this crime is sufficient for the application of the PSF, based on current Bureau of Prisons policy. Pursuant to Program Statement 5100.07, the criterion for the "Sex Offender" PSF is to be applied to a male inmate whose behavior, during his current term of confinement or prior history, includes "engaging in sexual contact with another person without obtaining permission to do so," "any sexual contact with a minor or other person physically or mentally incapable of granting consent," and/or "any offense referenced in the Sex Offender Notification and Registration Program Statement" (emphasis added). As Bureau of Prisons Program Statement 5100.07 is currently in effect, the PSF has been appropriately applied.

Program Statement 5141.02, Sex Offender Notification and Registration, indicates that unit staff must follow the notification procedures outlined in that program statement for any inmate who has a current or past conviction for specific sex offenses listed in 18 U.S.C. 4042(c)(4)(A) through (D). P.S. 5141.02 also states, "Under 18 U.S.C. 4042(c)(4)(E), the Attorney General is authorized to designate additional offenses as sexual offenses for the purpose of sex offender release notification and other related purposes. This authority has been delegated to the Director." P.S. 5141.02 lists offenses identified by the Director of the Bureau of Prisons as offenses for which unit staff must follow the notification procedures outlined in P.S. 5141.02. Among the offenses identified by the Director, are "Any offense under the law of any jurisdiction that involved: (1) Engaging in sexual contact with another person without obtaining permission to do so (forcible rape, sexual assault, or sexual battery); (2) Possession, distribution, mailing, production, or receipt of child pornography or related paraphernalia; (3) Any sexual contact with a minor or other person physically or mentally incapable of granting consent (indecent liberties with a minor, statutory rape, sexual abuse of the mentally ill, rape by administering a drug or substance); (4) Any sexual act or contact not identified in paragraphs (a)(1) through (3) of this section that is aggressive or abusive in nature (rape by instrument, encouraging use of a minor for prostitution purposes, incest); and (5) An attempt to commit any of the actions described in paragraphs (a) (1) through (4) of this section" (emphasis added). Again, based on current Bureau of Prison policy, your 1981 conviction for Sexual

Assault, 2nd Degree, in the state of West Virginia, qualifies as an offense identified under P.S. 5141.02, that is "Any offense under the law of any jurisdiction that involved: (1) Engaging in sexual contact with another person without obtaining permission to do so (forcible rape, sexual assault, or sexual battery)." As Program Statement 5141.02 is still in effect, Bureau of Prisons staff are "required to provide release and registration information (offender's name, criminal history, projected address, release conditions or restrictions) to state/local law enforcement and registration officials" prior to your release.

Document 18

Given the aforementioned, your Request for Administrative Remedy is denied.

If you are dissatisfied with this response, you may appeal to the Regional Director, Northeast Regional Office, U.S. Customs House - 7th Floor, 2nd and Chestnut Streets, Philadelphia, PA 19106. Your appeal must be received in the Regional Office within 20 days from the date of this response.

David L. Winn, Warden

A STATE OF THE STA

U.S. Department of Justice

Regional Administrative Remedy Appeal

Type or use ball-point pen. If attachmen with this appeal.	is are needed funding to	ar copies. One copy of the c	Ampieted Br-Dik-9 includi	ng any attachments must be submitted
From: FOX. CHARLES M. LAST NAME, FIRST, M	IDDLE INITIAL	020724088 REG. NO.	H-B	FAC DEVENS®
espond to this important of can't assign me a Saindicates which way the offence for which the presignation. Since my case an't designate me as a said Custody Classificated outention that if Simmorongress, You, the BOP has	t case. It is fety Factor. To court is lead! is incase is over 25 per qffender. It was 1861 to felled to f	through this case ven though this to ug. This mase cle restated one act years old, and a The BOP uses Progroup authority for Supp. 2d (D-Ninterpert this at	e that I base my lan't a First Cir early states that as a trigger for Stare case. I wo tram Statement 50 or the Public Saf NJ 2005) is in in- catue correctly.	contention that you- cuit Case, it certain; the only the current Fe the sex offender and suggest that the F 60.07. Security Design ety Factor. It is my chatche intention of ud should not have app
Public Ractor Factor to see would override any p in direct conflict wit a stand on this issue a 07/11/05	orogram atatemi Th the BOP's Pi	ent the BOP might cogram Statement	use to argue ag and I would ask lic Safety Facto	winst It. Simmors v. P that the BOP reconside r.
DATE.	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	SIGNATURE	OF REQUESTER
art B—RESPONSE		·		
•				
•	:	:		
	• • • •			€ 、

POPE CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR				
			چ <u>چ</u> ک	
•				, '******
Į.			,	· 11.
Committee of the second	t in the state of	4 - 1 m 1 - 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	for a state of the	Property of the second
, k				
				i
DATE	:		REGIONA	L DIRECTOR
dissatisfied with this response, you may lys of the date of this response.	appeal to the General C	ounsel. Your appeal must be	received in the General Cou	insel's Office within 30 calendar
	ATE		CASE NUME	JER:
ECOND COPY: RETURN TO INM				
		·	CASE NIIME	ER:
Part C—RECEIPT		·	CASE NUME	BER:

FOX, Charles
Reg. No. 02972-088
Appeal No. 379326-R1
Page One

Part B - Response

You appeal the decision of the Warden at FMC Devens to deny your request to remove your Sex Offender Public Safety Factor (PSF). You state your prior state sexual offense conviction should not support a PSF based on the court decision in <u>Simmons v. Nash</u>. You request the PSF be removed from your Custody Classification sheet.

Program Statement 5100.07, <u>Security Designation and Custody Classification Manual</u>, indicates the PSF, Sex Offender, will be applied for an inmate whose behavior in the current term of confinement or prior history involved any sexual act or contact that is aggressive or abusive in nature. Attempts are to be treated as if the sexual act or contact was completed.

Records indicate, on August 18, 1981, you were convicted in Greenbrier County Circuit Court, West Virginia of Second Degree Sexual Assault. On July 25, 1984, you began service of your five to 10 years sentence, and served five years before your release in July 1989. Based on your convicted offense, we find the PSF of Sex Offender is appropriately applied. The <u>Simmons</u> decision reviewed an interpretation of the Notice of sex offender release provisions of 18 U.S.C. § 4042(c). This court decision did not conclude it was improper for the Bureau to consider the nature of prior convictions in reaching classification decisions, which include the application of a PSF. Accordingly, your appeal is denied.

If you are dissatisfied with this response, you may appeal to the General Counsel, Federal Bureau of Prisons. Your appeal must be received in the Administrative Remedy Section, Office of General Counsel, Federal Bureau of Prisons, 320 First Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20534, within 30 calendar days of the date of this response.

Date: August 11, 2005

D SCOTT DODALLI
Regional Director

Administrative Remedy No. 379326-A1 Part B - Response

This is in response to your Central Office Administrative Remedy Appeal in which you allege staff assigned you a Public Safety Factor (PSF) based on a prior state conviction. You assert the assignment is improper because the conviction was under state statutes, not federal. You request the PSF be removed.

In addition, Program Statement 5100.07, <u>Security Designation and Custody Classification Manual</u>, Chapter 7, page 2, defines a sex offender as an inmate whose behavior in the current term of confinement or prior history includes sexual contact with another person without obtaining permission to do so (e.g., forcible rape, sexual assault or sexual battery). A conviction is not required for application of this PSF if the PSI, or other official documentation, clearly indicates the behavior occurred in the current term of confinement or prior criminal history. Based on our information, we find this assignment, derived from your prior history, to be appropriate.

We also refer you to the Region's response for the short summary of the decision in Simmons v. Nash. It has no bearing on the unit's application of the PSF.

We therefore concur with the responses provided. Accordingly, your appeal is denied.

Date

Harrell Watts, Administrator National Inmate Appeals